Rater's Name:  Date:  All rating criteria will be scored on a scale of 1-9. A score of 9 in A score of one indicates that the application does a poor job of a	dicates that the application does an excellent job of addressing the criterion.		
Funding Guidelines	Rating Guidance	Score	Comments
The project targets an underserved and low income population. The target population is clearly identified in the proposal and clearly links with the needs, project description and outcomes.	Scores of seven to nine indicate that the applicant has done an excellent to exceptional job of identifying the underserved and low income population. Evidence of the population's underserved and low income characteristics are thoroughly documented with national, regional or local data. The population's underserved and low income characteristics clearly relate to the needs, project description and outcomes.  Scores of four to six indicate that the applicant has done a satisfactory to very good job of identifying the underserved and low income population. Evidence of the population's underserved and low income characteristics are documented with national, regional or local data. The population's underserved and low income characteristics relate to the needs, project description and outcomes.  Scores of one to three indicate that the applicant has done a poor to fair job of identifying the underserved and low income population. Evidence of the population's underserved and low income characteristics are insufficiently documented with national, regional or local data. The population's characteristics do not clearly relate to the needs, project description and outcomes.		

I		
The pre-application narrative clearly describes	Scores of seven to nine indicate that the applicant has done an	
the unmet local need that will be addressed by the	excellent to exceptional job of describing the unmet local need that will	
proposed project, how the project will address that	be addressed by the proposed project, explaining how the project will	
•	address that need and clearly linking those activities with the target	
population, project description and outcomes.	population, project description and outcomes.	
	Scores of four to six indicate that the applicant has done a satisfactory	
	to very good job of of describing the unmet local need that will be addressed by the proposed project, explaining how the project will	
	address that need and linking those activities with the target	
	population, project description and outcomes.	
	population, project description and outcomes.	
	Scores of one to three indicate that the applicant has done a poor to	
	fair job of describing the unmet local need that will be addressed by	
	the proposed project, explaining how the project will address that need	
	and linking those activities with the target population, outcomes and	
	project description.	
3) The project description is clear and	Scores of seven to nine indicate that the applicant has done an	
understandable. The description links with the	excellent to exceptional job of describing the proposed project. The	
target populations, needs, and outcomes referenced in criteria 1,2 and 4.	project goals are clear and attainable and are clearly linked with the	
referenced in criteria 1,2 and 4.	outcomes, needs and target population.  Scores of four to six indicate that the applicant has done a satisfactory	<u> </u>
	to very good job of describing the proposed project. The project goals	
	are, for the most part, clear and attainable and are linked with the	
	outcomes, needs and target population.	
	Scores of one to three indicate that the applicant has done a poor to	
	fair job of describing the proposed project. The project goals are	
	unclear, may not be attainable and are not linked with the outcomes,	
	needs and target population.	
4) The project application clearly lists outcomes and		
deliverables related to the proposed project, which	excellent to exceptional job of listing outcomes, related to the project,	
' ' '	that are attainable in the project period.	
	Scores of four to six indicate that the applicant has done a satisfactory	
	to very good job of listing outcomes, related to the project, that are attainable in the project period.	
1	attainable in the project penod.	

	Scores of one to three indicate that the applicant has done a poor to fair job of listing outcomes related to the project; any outcomes listed may not be attainable in the project period.	
5) The applicant clearly establishes and supports the evidence base of their proposed project.	Scores of seven to nine indicate that the applicant has done an excellent to exceptional job of establishing and supporting the evidence base of the project. The proposal incorporates a practice with a high level of evidence that is cited by an established rating agency. Effective, efficacious and promising practices are eligible for the highest scores.	
	Scores of four to six indicate that the applicant has done a satisfactory to very good job of establishing and supporting the evidence base of the project. The proposal incorporates a practice that is cited by an established rating agency.	,

Scores of one to three indicate that the applicant has done a poor to fair job of establishing and supporting the evidence base of the

project.